

Attachment "G"

Background Information **WRAC-recommended motion to support ACA 7**

WRAC's adopted position, already expressed in five motions passed by a majority or more of member councils, is strongly in support of local control over land use and zoning decisions (Oppose SB 827, SB 50, SB 9 and SB 10; Support SB 15). See: <https://westsidecouncils.com/adopted-positions/>

The Los Angeles City Council has also expressed support for local land use regulations and procedures (resolutions passed opposing SB 827 and SB 50 unless amended):

<https://cityclerk.lacity.org/lacityclerkconnect/index.cfm?fa=ccfi.viewrecord&cfnumber=18-0002-S13>
<https://cityclerk.lacity.org/lacityclerkconnect/index.cfm?fa=ccfi.viewrecord&cfnumber=19-0002-S38>

Assemblymember Al Muratsuchi (D-Torrance) and Senator Steve Glazer (D-Contra Costa) introduced Assembly Constitutional Amendment (ACA) 7 in the State Assembly in March 2021, proposing an amendment to the State Constitution that would **restore local government control over land use decisions**. If passed in the legislature, ACA 7 would put this proposed Constitutional amendment **on the next ballot for a decision by the voters**.

Bill text: https://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=202120220ACA7

Bill explanation/background:

“(ACA 7 (Local Control of Land Use): Housing is a very complex land-use decision with different problems and solutions within different areas of the state. This measure would ensure all decisions regarding local land use control and zoning regulations are made within the affected communities in accordance with local law.”
Asm. Muratsuchi (<https://a66.asmdc.org/2021-legislation>)

“ACA 7, introduced by Assemblymember Al Muratsuchi, seeks to amend the California Constitution to ensure local land use authority would prevail over conflicting state laws. This amendment would allow the City to maintain local land use authority when in conflict with state legislation, except in the coastal zone and concerning water or transportation projects of statewide concern. In order to be ratified, the amendment would need two-thirds approval by the Legislature and approval by a majority of voters. The City Council has strongly opposed legislation that seeks to limit local land use authority. If passed, this measure would broadly ensure that the City’s local ordinances would prevail over all state land use legislation, if they are in conflict. Cities have an existing state constitutional authorization to make and enforce ordinances and regulations within city limits, known as police power. ACA No. 7 argues that the legislature cannot properly assess the impacts of sweeping land use legislation on individual communities. As such, cities should be able to utilize their police power to regulate zoning and land use issues within city borders, to the extent that municipal law shall prevail over conflicting general laws.”

City of Rancho Palos Verdes (RPV) Staff Report (<https://www.rpvca.gov/ArchiveCenter/ViewFile/Item/2869>; letter of support authorized by the RPV City Council on 4/6/21)

California Cities for Local Control (CCLC) letter of support (4/27/21):

<https://localcontrolca.com/documents/position-letters/2021-0430%20ACA7%20support%20letter.pdf>
CCLC website, with list of members (475 elected Mayors/City Councilmembers): <https://localcontrolca.com/>.

Marin Post opinion blog (6/10/21):

<https://marinpost.org/blog/2021/6/10/constitutional-amendment-takes-aim-at-restoring-local-control>

Chris Spitz
WRAC Vice-Chair
Member/PPCC representative, WRAC Board
PPCC Secretary