

## **Bel Air-Beverly Crest Neighborhood Council**

# Special Planning & Land Use Committee Meeting Tuesday May 16, 2023 5:00 P.M.

In-Person Location: TreePeople Conference Room at Coldwater Canyon Park

12601 Mulholland Drive Los Angeles, CA 90210

## Members of the public can join the meeting online or by telephone.

https://us02web.zoom.us/j/85625119963

OR

Dial (669) 900-6833 or (888) 475 4499 Then enter Webinar ID: 856 2511 9963 and Press #

Name	P	A	Name	P	A
Robert Schlesinger, Chair	X		Stephanie Savage	X	
Robin Greenberg (left at 5:58PM)	X		Nickie Miner	X	
Don Loze	X		Jamie Hall		X
Shawn Bayliss	X		Jason Spradlin (arrived at 5:20 PM)	X	
André Stojka	X		Ellen Evans	X	
Steven Weinberg	X		Cathy Wayne		X
Maureen Levinson	X		Leslie Weisberg	X	
Stella Grey	X		Travis Longcore ex officio	X	

President Longcore gave welcoming comments, explained the process of the Neighborhood Council, setting the intention of this evening's meeting, which he called to order at 5:08 PM, and noted that this meeting would include public comment from those present and those attending virtually. The Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag was recited, roll was called & quorum was met. [Jason Spradlin arrived at 5:20 PM for a total of 14 present until 5:58 PM when Robin Greenberg left as planned, with 13 present thereafter.]

- 1. The May 16, 2023 Agenda was approved, as moved by Stojka.
- 2. There was no General Public Comment topics *not* on the adopted agenda.

## **Project Scheduled for Presentation, Discussion & Possible Action:**

3. 15600 W MULHOLLAND DR

CPC-2023-135-CU-DRB-SPP-MSP

ENV-2023-136-CE

**International Language Campus Project** 

**CPC-2023-135-CU-DRB-SPP-MSP-P** was filed for Preliminary Review by the MDRB. **CPC-2023-135-CU-DRB-SPP-MSP** is the Subsequent Parent Case with updated information.

<u>Updated Short Description</u>: Proposed re-use of existing classrooms, offices, student services and residential buildings with limited new construction and interior modifications to existing buildings, including reconfiguring existing classrooms into 35 classrooms (no change in classroom SF) and converting a portion of the existing administration building into student residential space. Minor site enhancements to improve circulation, security, and recreation (2.3 acres of 21.7-acre site), including drop-off/pick-up zone, two additional security booths, new green space, sand volleyball court, new landscape area with swimming pool, and retaining existing open space. Proposed enrollment up to 900 students between September and May and up to 1,200 students between June and August, with 700 students living on-site.

**Applicant**: EF Education First

Applicant's Representative: Shawna Marino shawna.marino@ef.com

Permanent Link: https://planning.lacity.org/pdiscaseinfo/caseid/MjYzOTc00

Presentation & updated project files: https://efbelairproject.com/files

**Dropbox of plans the City is using:** 

Ms. Shawna Marino gave a presentation on the updated plans for Education First (EF). She acknowledged all in the room, including the people who live behind the AJU campus, with whom she noted that they have had 135 phone calls, email threads and meetings since the fall.

Ms. Marino noted that EF is applying for a new CUP, they are "primarily a boarding school campus," and they are making very small changes to the campus. She explained that the existing heart is the blacktop which they'll be changing to green space. As to security, she noted that they are taking a campus without perimeter, with minimal security, adding a perimeter and a second 24/7 kiosk, putting new video monitoring up and down Casiano, and will have swipe-in/swipe-out security. As to the existing main entrance, they are enhancing the drop-off/pick-up area, working with the Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy (SMMC) to respect habitat zones and wildlife crossings, putting in perimeter fencing and special fencing that is approved by wildlife folks... She explained the benefit of a for-profit educational use for the community, including but not limited to paying full property taxes.

[Jason Spradlin arrived at 5:20 PM.]

Ms. Marino noted that they are trying to find ways to share the community, creating a practice field for Wise for their exclusive use. She noted that EF has a strong commitment to the neighborhood, the Neighborhood Council and the PLU Committee that is here tonight, noting that everything they have discussed will be memorialized in the Conditional Use Permit (CUP). They are still working with community members around the country and while she cannot guarantee teenage behavior she can guarantee that they will respond and fix whatever comes up. She thanked everyone for their time, noting that they were here to answer questions and look forward to continuing the discussion.

#### **In-Person Public Comment:**

Ameet Keny, M.D., President of Casiano Estates HOA, shared a slide show presentation, pointing out violations of the CUP that EF is proposing. His presentation included a review of 1) number of residential students; 2) number of enrolled students, and 3) proposal to reduce parking lot capacity. He noted that they had asked how EF justifies having 700 living on campus, and that their answer suggested that they were trying to address concerns about traffic but ignored concern about the number living on campus. He made a table to show how many shuttles in the event of an

emergency, and asked how to evacuate 1000 people in shuttles that carry 20 passengers. He shared an image of the Wise calendar, noting that EF's Traffic Study was dated February 20-21, a day the temple was closed. Another question was how will the increased number of full time students affect water access, garbage collection/disposal, and internet connectivity/electrical power, etc., to which he noted that EF's reply was that infrastructure on campus is adequate. He shared a petition that was taken around to the community in opposition to EF's proposal, noting that 70% Casiano Estates HOA do not support; Casiano Bel Air 86 opposed, and Duomo Via 70% agreement of the community. He noted that they want to work with EF and want the AJU campus to be used for educational purpose but prefer it would help and serve Los Angelenos. They want to keep the CUP at the limit and want operations consistent with an academic year. They want cooperation. He'd still work with EF and asked if they could come down with the numbers. He noted that we'll hear from neighbors about fire safety and evacuation, and that they want a plan. He wants no reduction in parking onsite.

**Elizabeth Barcohana** noted that she is the VP of the HOA adjacent to the campus. She noted that EF ignored their offer, and she sees no path for this committee to approve this proposal as is. She related that Section 20.24e requires three findings: Enhance neighborhood, benefit the community, which she noted it doesn't purport to do so. She related that the only community benefit is to Wise for parking and a soccer field. She noted that the soccer field is at a lower elevation and instead EF is offering a small field of grass... leveling that would change the drainage pattern for the hillside. The storm drain would have to be moved to make it safe for children. This was never mentioned in EF's presentation. She discussed how this would pose a public health and safety issue. She discussed the danger of trying to evaluate such a large school, noting that they don't have a realistic plan to evacuate; noting that their fallback shelter in place plan is too dangerous, endangers all, and stressed that EF must establish that the project conforms to the Community Plan. She noted that the Community Plan puts the burden on EF to demonstrate that they can accommodate the proposed use... The Community Plan requires schools only if needed, noting that an international school is *not* needed by local residents. She noted that they claim it is exempt from CEQA, which she believes is false; that EF is seeking to more than triple the on-campus population and that CEQA applies the "baseline use test" which looks at the existing condition of the property. She noted that the campus has never in its lifetime approached the impacts that 1200 students would create, and urged the committee to *not* approve the proposal.

**David Hekmat** noted that he is from the HOA adjacent to the AJU campus, and is a board member of Westwood Community Council. He discussed EF's proposed elimination of parking spaces bringing them down to 287, and to put a massive pool in its place. He discussed use of pool/water for students... who would not be allowed to drive and the decreased parking, asking how they'd survive in such a remote campus, though noting that that they'll find a way. He noted that the people who live in Westwood near UCLA have cars and permit parking, which will happen here... He is concerned EF is not banning smoking, beer or wine and the banning of driving cannot be sustained. He noted that EF will still need parking for nonresident students, and asked how the lost parking spaces can be replaced. He thinks that EF's staff will be higher than what they are proposing; they haven't provided information on restrictions on motorcycles, electric bicycles and electric scooters, and opined that even if a small fraction of the students use these modes, it will create a dangerous situation for those who drive on Mulholland. He worries that if any parking is reduced now, it will be lost forever. He asked the NC to reject the proposal of such a parking plan.

**Mona Shargani** noted that she is a resident and board member of the Casiano Association. She believes that the traffic study was filled with misrepresentations, noting that Wise Temple and School was closed on the date of the study, so it doesn't reflect everyday traffic; it omitted exponential increase in rideshare to and from entertainment, dining and other off-campus

activities. She noted that EF's proposal to house students was marked as a way to decrease traffic and that they are having a hard time getting the host families; they are doubling numbers to 700 which creates safety concerns, traffic and food delivery and other issues. She discussed the foods available, noting that this campus is *not* near restaurants, and expects that there'll be increased gridlock daily. She noted that there are already three other school buses on Casiano and pointed out that they cannot compare this campus to San Diego's. She noted that EF's traffic studies are filled with misrepresentation, and missed key factors, e.g., not being in walking distance to restaurants or entertainment, and didn't take into account deliveries, etc.

Alina Vartany gave a description of the 1960s, school after school opening, and that EF in 2023 wants to set a new precedent to 7,000 to 10,000 students on the Mulholland corridor. She noted that this sets a precedent for LA Schools; that if EF can do this then Berkeley Hall and every public and charter school can get the green light to expand. She noted that this will lead to drastic increases. She'd like them to maintain the current existing CUP of up to 500 and 200 residing. She noted the numbers in the past years at AJU, even having 500 is a nightmare, and to add 700 to equal 1200 is not sustainable, pointing out that this is about money and lobbyists. She concluded that all school traffic flows through Casiano Road, for Wise and AJU.

**Dora Menavi,** resident of Bel Air Park for 26 years, expressed concerns about traffic, and evacuation if her community triples or quadruples. She noted that EF's numbers have no basis of what is in the CUP, and the number of students in the past 40 years. She asked if they are successful, what about our infrastructure, sewer and power systems, asking if any study was done on the impact of this on our infrastructure. She is concerned that this could set a precedent.

[Robin Greenberg left at 5:58 PM, as planned.]

**Marlene Hakakha** discussed the students who will be young, and the likelihood of violations of code of conduct. She expressed concern about the shelter in place plan for fires, noting that the plan at Mount St. Mary's in Westwood was a fiasco. She noted that EF discussed the shuttles for such a job, and asked how this will happen, listing numbers of students at these schools, and that it only takes one cigarette butt...

**Sandy Ryan** noted that he is a homeowner in Bel Air Park and that one of the drivers of his move here was its proximity to education. He noted that for 75 years there has been cooperation with the homeowners and that what has changed is that this is a "for-profit business model." He discussed the business model, noting that if doesn't work it cannot go forward. He noted that the issue is that the focus is the return on investment (ROI), positive cash flow...

**Svetlana Kasman** noted that she has been a homeowner in Casiano-Bel Air Estates for almost 20 years and noted that massive negative reviews show EF is *not* a trustworthy organization. She cited sexual abuse with an EF host in Santa Barbara in 2022, as well as suicide by the son of an Italian family at the NY campus in 2022, after the kid was forced into solitary confinement. She opined that they are money focused, not people-centric, profit over people and masters of marketing.

**Osep Armagan, M.D.** noted that he and his wife live on Casiano Court; their backyard is in the AJU parking lot. He noted that they saw a large EF campus in South Beach, a converted motel, walking distance to restaurants and bars, and asked how can you enforce wild and young students from foreign countries; how do they enforce a code of conduct, as to smoking and drinking.

**Sharona Shenassa**, Resident of Bel Air Park related that she expects devastating effects on their neighborhood where there are no restaurants, cafes, bars, theaters, gas stations, bus access, within

walking distance which the San Diego, Santa Barbara, Boston, NY and Pasadena campuses have close to campus. She expects that traffic will increase drastically. She visited the Pasadena campus, which she noted is less than a year old with minimum enrollment, and close to shops, and that she witnessed five ride shares & delivery cars within 15 minutes. She spoke to a homeowner, who lives across the street from the campus, who shared with her frustration with one of the EF kids hanging out in his front yard, drinking, smoking, loitering and making noise at all times of the day, and provided her with his contact information. She disputes that EF is able to respond. She noted that these international students come to Los Angeles to smoke, socialize and maybe party and feels that while EF says they want to be good neighbors, they have provided inappropriate and inconsistent information. She stands with neighbors in opposition to this proposal.

Roya Dardashti noted that she has been a resident since 1989, 34 years. She discussed the attraction of this neighborhood and the feeling that this project will bring unwanted attention to their neighborhood. She noted that a lot of people are not familiar with their neighborhood and that this would be bringing unsafe conditions from outsiders and the kids who will study there. She thinks the kids will come out with nothing around and walk on Casiano in their neighborhood, and who knows, they will smoke, drink, become rowdy, and do things for the fun of it, and she is very concerned about the safety in her neighborhood and that the increased traffic will decrease the value of their houses, which are a lifetime investment for them.

**David Moradzadeh** noted that the EF experts will say what they are paid to say and will not suffer from congestion or safety issues created. There is no way to add an additional lane to Mulholland Drive to alleviate institutional corridor congestion. The current CUP was codified for a reason... and life has changed since the permit was issued. He does want EF as a neighbor but as of now there are no accepted concssions or solutions for the safety and congestion problems, and that any change to the CUP that increases the number of students must trigger an entitlement process that has impartial experts to render an unbiased opinion. Students without cars to reduce traffic is nonsense, as there is nothing in walking distance for every 10 people over the CUP will have more... and additional people will create additional deliveries, traffic, evacuation issues. They must get support from each homeowner if EF is to change the current CUP.

Wendy Sue Rosen introduced herself as a Community Advocate and a Land Use Consultant (for EF as she has indicated on her public comment speaking card) as well as Past Chair of the Brentwood Community Council. Ms. Rosen related that she approached EF after hearing their proposal and asked them if she could work for them, noting that she had never seen a proposal that reduced impacts such as this one. She noted that she is in court with Mount St. Mary's with 500 living on campus and they were approved for 700 for next year. She discussed the institutional corridor and noted that the question for this body is whether this the appropriate use for the corridor. She noted that it is an educational use, and from her perspective, every single school in 20 years have all asked for increases. She noted that this is the first that has asked for decreases and asked the committee to support the project.

**Daniel Singh** noted that CUP was approved in 1966, over 57 years ago. He noted that if the buyers are trying to grandfather into the existing CUP approval, they should be limited to the existing impacts generated, with only enrollment of up to 200 students. The City should revise the CUP to reflect usage that has impact. Looking at the present proposal, traffic studies should be revised after a meeting with the City, the applicant and representatives of the HOAs. Society has changed and there is more traffic... food deliveries, Uber... As to fire safety, a meeting with LAFD should occur to adequately address climate warming..., areas studies should be prepared and hydrology should be repaired.

**Simon Gabriel** noted that he is a newer resident of the Bel Air Park Community who joined the community 9 months ago. He agrees with the HOA President, Ameet, with all the points he brought up, but wanted to direct attention to the buses, noting that 20-passenger buses that will come up and down Mulholland Drive, and up and down Casiano. He described a near accident on Mulholland, and noted Mulholland will not hit max speed, and will create congestion particularly during rush hour.

(A brief break was held to attend to the sound issues.)

## **Virtual Public Comment:**

Lois & Mark: Mark Stratton: From a land use perspective, EF is reducing impacts, cutting down on traffic... and asked us to think about the alternatives and not focus on disinformation. Lois Beck related that she has been doing this kind of watchdog work on the corridor for 50 years and this is the first time she has seen any institution trying to work with the neighbors, and that they haven't had much luck which she thinks is not their fault; that they are setting precedent for a much more open and collaborative process, warning something more impactful could end up here.

**Felicia Waldman** noted that she has been in Bel Air Park 13 years, and that she and her husband are in complete alignment, **strongly opposed** to EF for the reasons expressed today.

Jeffrey Herbst, President of the American Jewish University (AJU) who spoke in <u>favor</u>, noting that AJU as a regularly functioning university has a wide portfolio of activities that go beyond what was said in the comments, which he described, and discussed events prior to the pandemic, noting that they don't have attendance numbers for the events but estimates that many thousands of people have attended the various events. He noted that the AJU campus activity far exceeded, as per the CUP, simply the number of matriculated students. He concluded, that as an educator, that there are tremendous benefits for the neighborhood and Los Angeles of a significant number of foreign students to learn about America that would be a positive impact.

**Tanya Imrani** noted that she is a concerned parent from a neighboring school, stating her opposition to EF, concerned about traffic, Ubers, and how everyone will get out in the event of a fire, which she stated we all know there will be a fire in those mountains. She also wanted to talk about the pool's size, which she thinks is obnoxious, considering we are in a drought.

**Mel** noted that he is a resident of Bel Air Park for 49 years and expressed his fear of the safety problem. He noted that whenever he hears EF talk about the amount of traffic at the bottom, they neglect certain things, like in an emergency, how many people might have to be evacuated from Steven Wise Temple; and they neglect to mention that in case of a fire, the south gate on Casiano Road would have to be opened to allow evacuation of the entire population of Beverly Crest. He opposes EF's proposals, and noted that if they come in, they should come in with the CUP that is in existence rather than this widely expansive amount of students that they are proposing.

Sara Talei noted that she and her husband Nadiv Samimi have lived there 30 years, and she thinks the testimony of the president of AJU biased. She doesn't think his figures of the usage would equate to the number of students that come in and out here on a daily basis. She noted that last week, her thousand dollar stroller was stolen from there and she is worried about crimes like this will increase along with increased traffic with the kids in the street. They are highly opposed to EF. Nadiv Samimi voiced concern that some people are in support of EF are coming in, and other people have been saying that they will be mitigating traffic yet they increasing students, which seems nonsensical to him. He would love it if there was an independent arbiter to be able to examine this rather than the people who are being paid. He is very much against it.

**Shel Bachrach** noted that he has been living here for 40 years, his kids went to school here, they moved here because it was a quiet area with the proper schooling, etc. He is completely opposed. He questioned some of the people that EF has brought in, including a Santa Barbara Fire Chief, n asked why they don't bring in people who know directly, and doesn't think they need someone from the FBI, and sees no pluses, except to make money for profit.

**Sarah Kashani** noted that they just moved into the area; she has children aged 14 year who walk to Milliken and go on runs in the neighborhood. She doesn't feels her children will be safe with EF coming. She is horrified with the amount of students who will be living on campus. If there was a school with a lower number of children who left the campus and weren't sleeping over, but to have this many children housed, when you can't control the alcohol, the smoking, with the fire hazards, and traffic, she doesn't feel safe.

**Rhonda** opposed to EF, and wanted to add that some people have mentioned it is a low impact and won't affect traffic; doesn't understand if you are adding students and staff, how traffic won't be impacted. Her children play soccer at the field there, which they benefit from. Should EF come in, they have said that the community won't be able to access the facility, and doesn't understand how that will benefit the community.

**AgainstEF/Anil Sharma**, noted that he recently moved to this neighborhood. He has two little kids who go to Berkeley Hall. He and his wife are very concerned about EF bringing all these students and they haven't been upfront about the number of students who will stay here on campus or traffic; and his issues are the same as the others, traffic, number of students, fire/evacuation and the situation of crime. He noted they strongly oppose it.

Michael seconded what was said by their HOA President, Ameet, and others, noting that from his perspective, this is a for-profit project and the buyers have every incentive to see the project through because it makes them money, noting there is no shame in that but we have to see it for what it is. He noted that the experts who presented on their side are paid for their opinion. He noted that the community has seen the bias in their presentation and urged everybody to talk to their representatives to in fact put some pressure and see that this project doesn't take place.

#### Public Comment Speaker Cards of Opposition to the Project (individuals did *not* speak):

Dr. Ata Rezvanpour yielded his time Gustav Salkinder, who yielded his time Shawn Soleimani, who yielded his time Jina Rezvanpour Bijan Daneshgar Saiid Afari Jennifer Keny Fariba Nahamou Fariba & Bahran Rabbani Susan Tabitian Afbaneh Shefa (ineligible) Mousa & Jila Golbahar Ekaterina Sirotenko Bert Arons Bill & Sue Ronen Bill Kravitz Rita Aminan

The public hearing was closed and the floor was opened to the committee. Questions were asked and answered, and discussion held as to various issues including but not limited to the numbers of vehicles anticipated in the morning delivering students, including shuttle buses, what happens if you start operating and those traffic estimates happen to be incorrect, what is the recourse if what they are projecting is not right, who will enforce the CUP conditions; the code of conduct; the reduction of parking, numbers of drop offs at Steven Wise, and security for kids. Regarding sheltering in place in the event of a fire, Retired Santa Barbara County Fire Chief who also worked in LA County and was Chair of Fire Scope for CA, spoke remotely on plans for sheltering in place. He noted that it would be very unlikely that an evacuation will be ordered with not much time left, referencing Pepperdine, which has had a shelter in place for decades, noting that you don't want to evacuate in the last minute. He related that if you have a facility with adequate defensible space, it is much safer to shelter in place than being on the road. They've shown LAFD the plan.

Member Miner noted that we need to look at the common sense reality of this: This is an educational situation but also a boarding school. She is thinking about this many young people there day after day—month after month – and asked what do you do when they can't stand it anymore and have to get out of there? How many people can you have supervising that many young people and not make them feel that they're being incarcerated? She pointed out that they may have homesickness, mischief, rebellion, and stir crazy and island fever, and doesn't think it takes into account what these age groups are like. She noted that this campus is in the middle of nowhere, and there are no comparisons to what you have elsewhere. There is no boulevard, Mulholland is dangerous, and it doesn't seem logical to her to have this type of situation up here. She asked, what you do about homesickness, to which Ms. Marino noted that the students live in doubles, triplets and quads, and are happy to have a home away from home; they have amenities, and are excited to meet people from around the world and to speak English.

Member Loze noted that he is aware of certain construction requirements, and asked both sides to consider the creation of a schedule performance, bonding for performance & insurance on top of the homeowners insurance policies now to insure against the terrible things that have been suggested might happen, and maybe there's a way to find common ground that they can work through the process to give some assurance and answer what we've been hearing about all night, what they have been talking about instead of addressing this number and that number but find a practical solution consistent with other requirements in the city. Member Loze noted that they have projected that they have been successful everywhere but that occasionally businesses decide they are not as successful as they ought to be; there should be some process, should they choose to not continue, to have some protection for the default and reconstruction funds for the next tenant.

Member Levinson asked if they have a no-smoking policy like UCLA has, to which Ms. Marino noted that smoking is prohibited across campus to a designated space enclosed and deemed safe. It is strictly prohibited off campus as well. Member Levinson noted that it would be nice for it to be smokeless and tobacco-less written into their CUP, so if a violation, it would hit the school hard. Ms. Marino noted that it would not work to ban it completely. Member Weisberg noted asked how that affects their fire insurance and profits and losses, noting that their fire insurance will be exorbitantly high. She noted as a homeowner in a high fire zone, she pays \$100,000 year for insurance for a small property in a high fire zone, and encouraged them to look at that.

Member Bayliss noted that he wasn't here at the last meeting, apologized, and asked about the age groups of students, told it was high school through college aged people, which he noted threw him for a loop. The idea that there are 13-18 year old kids living in a site that is 100% isolated; the closest to get a soda is 2.2 miles away at the shopping center in Beverly Glen... He noted that the students will be looking to get out, roam, and experience the world. He noted, as less of a traffic

comment, there will be 15-year old kids on scooters cruising down Beverly Glen. Ms. Marino noted that they won't allow motorized scooters.

Member Bayliss also asked about parking requirements, pointing out the original entitlements went from 515, additional entitlements dropped to 450, and then dropped it to 415 in 2012, in the last set of entitlements, asking what entitlement brought it down to the current number, below 280... to which Andrew noted that some of those entitlements were for what was not actually built. He thinks that they are currently 347. The large library wasn't built in 2012. The smaller library was built in 2012. He was asked if the last entitlement was in 2012. It was noted that there was a subsequent entitlement for the fence.

Member Weisberg noted that having 13-year olds sleeping overnight with 21-22-23 year-olds is concerning, to which Ms. Marino noted that she is *not* concerned about this, as they have an (*inaudible*) on every floor, and they separate men and women. President Longcore opened the floor for a motion, noting that the request is to support the CUP: either support, oppose or something in between.

<u>Main Motion</u> to support all the requests of the development, changes, pool, everything, with the limitation on the number of residential students to 200 students living on campus; <u>moved</u> by Evans, <u>seconded</u> by Weinberg.

<u>Motion</u> to amend the motion to support 200 hundred students living on campus only if the residential students are limited to ages 17 to 23 was <u>moved</u> by Weisberg; <u>seconded</u> by Levinson. Following discussion, <u>amendment</u> to add the recommendation from 17-23 <u>passed</u> by <u>5 yeses</u>: Evans, Miner, Levinson, Weisberg, and Bayliss; <u>3 noes</u>: Weinberg, Grey, and Loze, <u>4</u> <u>abstentions</u>: Spradlin, Stojka, Schlesinger, and Longcore.

Member Grey moved to require specific ramifications to violations be listed in the CUP so the community would not have to do that. It was noted that we could not be sure this was feasible. Member Loze asked about upcoming meetings. Dr. Longcore noted that if the committee makes a recommendation, we'll forward that to the Board to the May agenda, then it goes to the City to be heard on June 8<sup>th</sup>. Dr. Longcore noted that there will be public comment at the next board meeting that will be limited in time to half an hour. We'll take as many people as we can. Dr. Longcore reiterated that the motion is to support the CUP with the recommendation only if the number of allowed residential students is 200 of ages 17-23.

Amending motion: To have no more than 200 commuter students if the number is limited to 400: 200 residential and 200 commuting was <u>moved</u> by Stojka and <u>seconded</u> by Weinberg. Following discussion on this amending motion to limit consistent with the existing CUP: 200 commuter & 200 residential students <u>passed</u> by <u>9 yeses:</u> Bayliss, Weisberg, Levinson, Miner, Savage, Grey, Evans, Stojka & Spradlin; <u>2 noes:</u> Loze & Weinberg; <u>2 abstentions</u>: Schlesinger & Longcore. Member Evans noted that this motion encourages what Mr. Loze is suggesting, that there be a mediator between the parties who come to the board meeting next week.

<u>Vote on the Motion as Amended</u> that we support the CUP with the limit of 200 residential and 200 commuter students of ages 17 through 23 <u>passed</u> by <u>10 yeses</u> from Members Spradlin, Weinstein, Stojka, Evans, Grey, Savage, Miner, Levinson, Weisberg & Bayliss; <u>1 no</u> by Loze, and <u>2 abstentions</u> by Schlesinger & Longcore.

President Longcore thanked the experts who came and everyone who has participated in this process and the meeting adjourned at approximately 7:45 PM.