# MRT 2 An Affordable Alternative Valley-to-LAX Monorail Route Through the Sepulveda Pass

Because Metro Cannot Afford Any of Their Four Concepts With Currently Available Measure M Funding

Bel Air Beverly Crest Neighborhood Council Transportation Committee

April 11, 2019

Bob Anderson Board Member and Chair, Transportation Committee BobHillsideOrdinance@roadrunner.com



nerman Oaks

Jeffrey Kalban Board Member and Chair, PLUM Committee jeff.kalban.sonc@gmail.com



Sherman Oaks Neighborhood Council

## **Metro's Four Concepts**



### **Sepulveda Pass Funding Dilemma**

### SOHA and SONC strongly support HRT 1 and HRT 2

- Both fully underground heavy rail in Valley and Westside
- Highest capacity, shortest travel times, and least invasive
- Not affordable under available Measure M funding
- SOHA and SONC strongly oppose HRT 3
  - Heavy rail elevated above Sepulveda Boulevard in Valley
  - Inequitable Elevated in Valley Underground on Westside
  - Not affordable under available Measure M funding

### SOHA and SONC strongly oppose MRT 1

- Monorail elevated in Pass and above Sepulveda Boulevard in Valley
- Inequitable Elevated in Valley Underground on Westside
- Not affordable under available Measure M funding

#### Valley Getting the Short End of the Stick – As Usual We Need Equitable and Affordable Alternative to MRT 1

## Why Isn't HRT 3 Concept Viable?

- Operates elevated above Sepulveda Boulevard for five miles
- Encroaches on Valley residents, businesses, streets, drivers, and pedestrians
- Destroys community's light, air, and privacy
- Eliminates lanes and increases traffic congestion on Sepulveda Boulevard
- Reduces street parking on Sepulveda Blvd
- Construction nightmare for years and years
- High potential for legal battles and delays
- Inequitably underground on the Westside
- Higher cost and longer schedule to build underground Westside tunnels and stations

Metro Needs a Better, Equitable Alternative

CO

Aerial

**Under-**

ground on Westside

### HRT 3 – Aerial on Sepulveda in Valley





TRAINS CAN NEGOTIATE A 5.8% GRADE 20' DROP = 345' LENGTH, 40' = 690'

- HRT 3 Transitions from Underground to Aerial near Valley Vista Boulevard
  - Aerial on Sepulveda Boulevard
  - Transition from underground to aerial

### **HRT 3 – Very Intrusive Valley Operation**

HRT 3 Traveling Northbound on Sepulveda Boulevard **Just North of 101 Freeway** 

This Property Has Been Sold and Multi-Family

Development Expected

Aerial More Than 5 miles on Sepulveda Boulevard in Sherman Oaks and Van Nuys

## **Sepulveda at Morrison Looking East**



## **Sepulveda at Morrison Looking East**



## **Sepulveda at Ventura Looking South**



## **Sepulveda at Ventura Looking South**



### **Ventura at Sepulveda Looking East**



### **Ventura at Sepulveda Looking East**



## **Sepulveda at Greenleaf Looking West**



### **Sepulveda at Greenleaf Looking West**



### **Sepulveda at Sutton Looking South**



## **Sepulveda at Sutton Looking South**



## Why Isn't MRT 1 Concept Viable?



- Operates elevated above Sepulveda Boulevard for five miles
- Encroaches on Valley residents, businesses, streets, drivers, and pedestrians
- Destroys community's light, air, and privacy
- Eliminates lanes and increases traffic congestion on Sepulveda Boulevard
- Reduces street parking on Sepulveda Blvd
- Construction nightmare for years and years
- High potential for legal battles and delays
- Inequitably underground on the Westside
- Higher cost and longer schedule to build underground Westside tunnels and stations

Metro Needs a Better, Equitable Monorail Alternative

### **MRT 1 – Aerial on Sepulveda in Valley**



### **MRT 1 – Intrusive Valley Operation**



### **Measure M Funding Is Not Enough**

#### Measure M Funding for Sepulveda Transit Corridor Project (in \$2015 billions)

Metro Subregion	Measure M Funding	Local, State, Federal, & Other Funding	TOTAL
San Fernando Valley	\$1.270	\$1.567	\$2.837
Westside	\$1.270	\$1.567	\$2.837
TOTAL (Phase 2)	\$2.540	\$3.134	\$5.674

Average U.S. inflation rate of 3.4 percent per year optimistically applied over four years

#### At Most \$6.5 billion Currently Available from Measure M (\$2019)

## **HRT 1 and 2 Construction Cost Per Mile**

### Metro Purple Line Extension is best for cost comparison

- Ongoing heavy rail subway construction under Wilshire Boulevard
- 9.07 miles, 7 stations (every 1-1/2 mile), and no maintenance facility
- \$9.35 billion forecast cost (per Metro Program Management Dashboard)
- \$1.03 billion per mile (\$1.43 billion per mile for last section)

### HRT 1 and 2 same heavy rail subway but fewer stations

- 14 miles, 6 or 7 stations (every 2 miles), and maintenance facility
- \$0.8 billion per mile lowest possible Less stations but extra facility

### Lowest to highest possible HRT 1 and 2 construction cost

- •14 miles at \$0.8 billion per mile = \$11.2 billion Optimistic
- •14 miles at \$1.0 billion per mile = \$14.0 billion Probable
- •14 miles at \$1.2 billion per mile = \$16.8 billion Probable
- •14 miles at \$1.4 billion per mile = \$19.6 billion Pessimistic

#### HRT 1 and HRT 2 Will Cost \$11 Billion To Build – Probably More

### **Metro's Severe Funding Gap**

- Measure M provides at most \$6.5 billion (\$2019)
  - 28x28 Olympics initiative \$8.6 billion not available because none of Metro concepts can meet 2028 deadline
  - Congestion pricing and mobility fees insufficient to fill gap
  - Public Private Partnership (P3) possible through Metro Office of Extraordinary Innovation if financially sensible
- Concepts HRT 1 and HRT 2 cost \$11 to \$17 billion
  - Not affordable 14 miles of tunneling
- Concept HRT 3 costs \$9 to \$13 billion
  - Not affordable 9 miles of tunneling in Westside and Pass
- Concept MRT 1 costs \$7 to \$11 billion
  - Not affordable 6 miles of tunneling on Westside

Huge Funding Gaps May Force Metro to Select Then Downgrade MRT 1 Monorail Concept

Metro Needs Better, Affordable Monorail Alternative

## Meet MRT 2 – A Monorail on the I-405



- Futuristic look and appeal
- Aerial above 405 freeway median
- Dual tracks on streamlined single support structure
- Similar stations to MRT 1 monorail
- Equitably elevated in Valley, Westside, and LAX regions
- Almost zero impacts to communities and streets – Even during construction
- Minimal potential for legal actions
- Shortest schedule No tunneling
- Possibility of Valley to LAX by 2028
- Lowest cost \$6 to \$7 billion to LAX

**Better Concept That Metro Should Adopt** 

### **MRT 2 – Minimal Community Impact**



- Dual-track monorail operates above freeway median in both directions
- Safe and quiet operation
- No interference with freeway traffic
- Easy evacuation path between tracks if emergency

### **Easy Ride Over the Sepulveda Pass**



- MRT 2 travels under the Mulholland Bridge
- Travels over smaller bridges and overpasses
  Skirball and Sunset Bridges, Orange Line, and Burbank Boulevard

# Travels over or around major freeway interchanges Ventura (101), Santa Monica (10), and Marina (90) freeways

### **Many Station Options Available**



Stations can be located next to freeway on dogleg track

 Stations can be located above freeway median with moving sidewalk to terminal, parking, offices, and more

### **MRT 2 Van Nuys Metrolink Station**





- Northern terminus at Van Nuys Metrolink station – Easy connection
- Runs aerial and parallel to Metrolink tracks before turning above I-405 freeway median
- Additional parking for east Valley possible at station

### **MRT 2 Sherman Way Parking Station**





- Major driver access from north Valley, North County, Metrolink, and more
- Huge 10,000-car parking structure at Sherman Way with easy access to/from 405 freeway
- Station inside parking structure on dogleg track

### **MRT 2 Orange Line Parking Station**





- Major driver access from north Valley, south Valley, Orange Line, and more
- Large parking structure on existing Orange Line parking lot with easy access to/from Sepulveda Boulevard
- Station inside parking structure on dogleg track
- People mover to Orange Line station

### **MRT 2 Ventura Boulevard Station**





- Easy access to Sepulveda and Ventura Boulevard transit
- Station adjacent to freeway on dogleg track with people mover to parking
- Station could be closer to Ventura Boulevard if desirable

### **MRT 2 Wilshire Boulevard Station**





- Easy access to/from 405 freeway, Wilshire Boulevard, and UCLA
- Large UCLA on-campus parking structure with station on dogleg track
- People mover onto UCLA campus
- Direct connection to Purple Line on Wilshire Boulevard

### **MRT 2 Expo Line Station**





- Direct connection to Expo Line for either Santa Monica or downtown Los Angeles
- Station adjacent to 405 and 10 freeways on dogleg track
- Monorail continues south to further stations and LAX terminus

### **Monorail Performance Underestimated?**

- Metro heavy rail performance estimates HRT 1, 2, and 3
  Six cars per train traveling at up to 70 mph
  - 12,000 passengers per hour at four minutes between trains
  - 15 to 16 minutes from Van Nuys Metrolink to Expo Line
- Metro monorail performance estimates MRT 1
  - Three cars per train traveling at up to 50 mph
  - •7,500 passengers per hour at four minutes between trains
  - •26 minutes from Van Nuys Metrolink to Expo Line
- Today's monorail performance estimates much better
  - Six cars per train traveling at up to 75 mph
  - 14,000 passengers per hour at two minutes between trains
  - 20 minutes from Van Nuys Metrolink to Expo Line

#### Monorail Performance Is At Least As Good As Heavy Rail Better, Faster, Equitable, Quickest To Build, and Least Costly

### **Toll Lanes Cannot Preclude MRT 2**

- Metro planning four 405 ExpressLanes through Pass
  - One HOV lane and one toll lane in each direction
  - Measure M, Attachment A, footnote f "includes early delivery of highway ExpressLane"
- ExpressLanes must not preclude MRT 2 monorail above 405 median through Sepulveda Pass
  - •One part of Metro says four ExpressLanes excludes MRT 2
  - •Another part of Metro says ExpressLanes and MRT 2 compatible
  - Toll ExpressLanes also penalize low-income drivers

Metro must ensure compatibility of toll lanes and MRT 2

 And stop considering unacceptable elevated tracks above Sherman Oaks and Van Nuys streets

#### Don't Preclude Best, Quickest-To-Build, Actually Affordable, Median-Running Monorail Route for Short-Term Toll Lanes

### **Public-Private Partnership Concepts**

- Metro also soliciting Public-Private Partnership (P3) concepts for Sepulveda Transit Corridor Project
- Commercial organizations propose innovative concepts
  - Combined rail-auto tunnels?
  - •Alternative monorail routes?
  - Other?
- Metro evaluates proposed P3 concepts in 2019
- Metro Board selects P3 concepts to continue through environmental impact analysis
  - Selected P3 concepts evaluated alongside selected Metro concepts

Public-Private Partnership Proposals from Commercial Organizations May Offer Additional Innovative and Affordable Concepts Metro Must Provide Additional Transparency Into P3 Process

### **MRT 2 Monorail – A Better Alternative**

- Heavy Rail Subways HRT 1 and 2 Are Terrific But Unaffordable
- HRT 3 and MRT 1 Are Inequitable To Valley, Unaffordable, and Destroy Van Nuys and Sherman Oaks
- MRT 2 Monorail Above 405 Median Is Only Affordable and Equitable Concept Operating by '28 Olympics
- Let's Make MRT 2 a Reality!

### **Please Send Comments To Metro**

### Please send comments to Metro about the Sepulveda Transit corridor Project

- Opposition against elevated tracks in Valley
- Support for MRT 2 monorail above 405 freeway
- Other?

### Email comments to:

- sepulvedatransit@metro.net
- Cory Zelmer, Metro Project Manager, <u>zelmerc@metro.net</u>
- Joshua Schank, Metro Chief Innovation Officer, <u>schankj@metro.net</u>
- Karen Swift, Metro Valley Communications, <u>swiftk@metro.net</u>

### Mail comments to:

Cory Zelmer, Metro Project Manager
 Metro, One Gateway Plaza, M/S 99-22-5, Los Angeles, CA, 90012

### Thank you